MSt/MPhil Archaeology

Examining Conventions

Academic Year 2017-18

1. Introduction

Examination conventions are the formal record of the specific assessment standards for the course or courses to which they apply. They set out how examined work will be marked and how the resulting marks will be used to arrive at a final result and classification of an award.

The supervisory body responsible for approving the examination conventions is the Social Sciences Board's Teaching Audit Committee.

2. Rubrics for individual papers

MSt

- 1. One subject selected from Schedule A below to be sat as a 3 hour written exam in Trinity Term of the academic year of their admission.
- 2. One further subject selected from Schedules B–D, to be examined by two pre-set essays (each of 5000 words). Candidates will propose essay topics in consultation with their supervisor or relevant course provider. The proposed essay titles, countersigned by the supervisor, must be submitted for approval of the Chair of Examiners by no later than noon on Friday of the seventh week of the term in which instruction was given. Candidates must upload their essays to the Assignments section of the course WebLearn site by not later than noon on Monday of the first week of the term following that in which the instruction for that subject was given. Essays should bear the candidate's examination number but not his or her name.
- 3. Candidates must upload their dissertations to the Assisgnments section of the course WebLearn site not later than noon on the Friday of the sixth week of Trinity Full Term and should bear the candidate's examination number but not his or her name.

MPhil

Year 1

- 1. One subject selected from Schedule A to be sat as a 3 hour written exam, in which 3 questions will be answered, in Trinity Term of the academic year of their admission.
- 2. One further subject selected from Schedules B–D, to be examined by two pre-set essays (each of 5000 words). Candidates will propose essay topics in consultation with their supervisor or relevant course provider. The proposed essay titles, countersigned by the supervisor, must be submitted for approval of the Chair of Examiners by no later than noon on Friday of Week 7 of the term in which instruction was given. Candidates must upload their essays to the Assignments section of the course WebLearn site by not later than noon on Monday of the first week of the term following that in which the instruction for that subject was given.. Essays should bear the candidate's examination number but not his or her name.

3. A dissertation of not more than 10,000 words (excluding bibliography and descriptive catalogue or similar factual matter, but including notes and appendices) the subject to be selected from Schedules A–D. Candidates must upload their dissertations to the Assignments section of the course WebLearn site not later than noon on the Friday of the sixth week of Trinity Full Term and should bear the candidate's examination number but not his or her name.

Year 2

1. One further subject chosen from Schedules A-D and which is normally taught in Michaelmas Term of the second year. [Candidates who offered a subject from Schedule C or D in the Qualifying Examination (Year 1) may not normally offer another subject from the same schedule.] The subjects will be examined by two pre-set essays (each of 5000 words), except that further subjects from Schedule A in the MSt in Archaeology may also be examined by a written paper. Candidates must submit their preset essays no later than noon on Monday of the first week of the term following that in which instruction for that subject was given..

The subject for examination and the chosen method of examination in Year 2 must be submitted for approval by the School of Archaeology Graduate Studies Committee in time for its meeting in eighth week of the Trinity Full Term of the academic year in which the candidate's name is first entered on the Register for M.Phil. students. Notice of the subject must be given to the Registrar no later than Friday of the eighth week of the Michaelmas Full Term preceding the examination.

2. One thesis of not more than 25,000 words (excluding bibliography and any descriptive catalogue or other factual matter, but including notes and appendices). The thesis topic must be approved by the Graduate Studies Committee for Archaeology by the end of the Trinity Term of the first year. The thesis should be submitted no later than noon on the Friday of the sixth week of Trinity Full Term in the academic year after that in which their name is first entered on the Register for M.Phil. students. d

3. Marking conventions

3.1 University scale for standardised expression of agreed final marksAgreed final marks for individual papers will be expressed using the following scale:

70-100	Distinction
50-69	Pass
0-49	Fail

3.2 Qualitative criteria for different types of assessment

The general criteria in section 4.1 apply equally to dissertations and theses, but for the larger theses (M.Phil. thesis and M.St. dissertation) the following aspects can be assessed, in terms

of marks, as: over 79: exceptional; 70-79: excellent; 60-69: good; 50-59: satisfactory; 40-49: less than satisfactory; under 40: poor.

- The delineation of the aims, assessment of methods, and appreciation of limitations, of the thesis.
- The placing into a scholarly context of the central concerns and outcomes of the thesis.
- The choices of material, of methodology and, where appropriate, of experimental approaches, and methods of data analysis.
- The competence of execution, including, where appropriate, experimental work and statistical or other analysis of the results.
- The quality of interpretation in terms of intelligence, knowledge of relevant context, originality and subtlety.
- The ability to present a large-scale project and its outcomes as a whole, and to summarise these succinctly and accurately.

3.3 Verification and reconciliation of marks

All pre-submitted items of work and all exam scripts are double-blind marked according to the marking criteria for the degrees published in the *Notes of Guidance - Postgraduate Taught Courses commencing 2017-18, Annexe E, pp.47-48*. The two examiners discuss their grades after independently marking them, and agree a mark, usually following the lead of the person more knowledgeable on the topic at hand. Where there is a discrepancy of 6 or more marks, and the difference crosses a grade boundary, a third marker may be brought in, and/or the case will be highlighted for the external examiner.

3.4 Scaling N/A

3.5 Short-weight convention and departure from rubric

The maximum deduction that can be made for short weight should be equivalent to the proportion of the answer that is missing. Where a candidate has failed to answer a compulsory question, or failed to answer the required number of questions in different sections, the complete script will be marked and the issue flagged. The board of examiners will consider all such cases so that consistent penalties are applied.

3.6 Penalties for late or non-submission

Under normal circumstances the following penalties will be applied by the Examiners:

For the late submission of work: the subtraction of one mark per day to a maximum of 5 marks per week. After 14 days the work will be classed as not submitted. Such non-submission will result in the failure of the whole exam.

3.7 Penalties for over-length work and departure from approved titles or subjectmatter

Under normal circumstances the following penalties will be applied by the Examiners:

For work that is over length: Essays or dissertations will be penalised by up to 1 mark for every 2% (or part thereof) by which they exceed the specified word limit. If the piece of work exceeds the limit by 10% or more, it will fail.

4. Progression rules and classification conventions

4.1 Qualitative descriptors of Distinction, Pass, Fail

- Over 79 Outstanding work, including all the qualities listed below, but showing complete command of the subject, originality, evidence of extensive reading, and a developed understanding of the overall context of the problem or question.
- 79-70 Excellent work, with an unequivocal grasp of current major issues in the field, a depth of knowledge of the concepts and material involved. Knowledge, argument and methodology are reviewed critically, with insight and independence of thought. Arguments should show sophisticated reasoning and be clear, well focused and cogent. Thoroughness, insight, wide reading and understanding, clarity of thought and expression, critical ability and originality are all present.
- Work shows consistency, fluency and critical ability in discussing and evaluating evidence and draws upon theories from a variety of sources, with the whole organised into a structured argument. An understanding and assimilation of the relevant literature is demonstrated, and there is a relation of concepts and ideas from different part of the teaching, showing some degree of independence of thought.
- Work shows knowledge and understanding, but there may be little development of ideas and methodology. There are some omissions, shortcomings, or errors of fact, and limited deployment of evidence to support ideas or argument. There is reference to the literature, though not extensive, and there may be limited evidence of critical ability. Candidates must show that they have grasped the fundamental concepts and procedures in the field, and the work is adequately executed, although there may be some lack of clarity and focus.
- Work shows a limited degree of knowledge and understanding of the essential literature for the course. Examination answers contain some relevant material but may demonstrate significant inaccuracies, be insufficiently focused on the question, or simply general and diffuse. Dissertations demonstrate some familiarity with the relevant literature, but may show significant deficiencies in organisation and discussion of ideas, while arguments may be inadequately supported or hard to follow. Practical work shows some ability but aspects of data collection and processing may be problematic.
- Under 40 Work that shows little understanding of and/or is barely relevant to the question, shows minimal evidence of reading, contains largely erroneous or irrelevant material, and is very short and/or unfocused; may be poorly expressed and organised.

4.2 Final outcome rules MSt

The three elements of the MSt carry equal weight. In the 3-hour exams, each of the 3 questions is weighted equally in marking, as are the 2 pre-submitted essays for option papers. The 10,000 word essay receives equal weighting to the other two elements of the MSt.

Candidates whose average mark in the examination is 50 or above will be awarded a pass. Candidates whose average mark in the examination is 70 or above will be awarded a Distinction.

Candidates who have initially failed any element of the examination will not be eligible for the award of a Distinction upon resitting that element.

MPhil

The three elements in Year 1 each carry equal weight, with the final mark being a straightforward average of each of the three elements. The average overall mark for the Year 1 is given equal weighting with the 2 pre-submitted essays in Year 2. The second-year thesis, which corresponds to two terms work, is double-weighted in calculating the final mark.

Candidates whose overall average mark across both years is 50 or above will be awarded a pass. Candidates whose overall average mark across both years is 70 or above will be awarded a Distinction.

Candidates who have initially failed any element of the examination will not be eligible for the award of a Distinction upon resitting that element.

4.3 Progression rules

For the MPhil, all candidates are required to satisfy the examiners in a Qualifying Examination identical with that for the degree of Master of Studies in Archaeology and governed by regulations 5-9 for that degree, in the Trinity Full Term of the academic year in which their name is first entered on the Register of MPhil students. In the case of failure in one part of the qualifying examination, the candidate will have the same rights of resubmission as for the MSt in Archaeology and, if successful, will be granted permission to supplicate for the degree of MSt in Archaeology but will not be permitted to proceed to the second year of the MPhil Candidates whose work in the Qualifying Examination is judged by the examiners to be of the standard required for the degree of MSt but not of the standard required to proceed to the second year of the MPhil, will be granted permission to supplicate for the degree of Master of Studies in Archaeology.

An overall mark of at least 65 is normally expected for those wishing to continue on to the DPhil course in Archaeology.

4.4 Use of vivas

All MSt and MPhil students must be available for *viva voce* examination if required by the examiners. This usually is restricted to borderline cases (pass/fail or pass/Distinction).

4.5 Resits

In the case of failure in just one part of the MSt examination, the candidate will be permitted to retake that part of the examination on one further occasion, not later than one year after the initial attempt. Written papers would be retaken the following year.

In the case of failure in one part of the MPhil qualifying examination, the candidate will have the same rights of resubmission as for the MSt in Archaeology and, if successful, will be granted permission to supplicate for the degree of MSt in Archaeology but will not be permitted to proceed to the second year of the MPhil in Archaeology. Candidates whose work in the Qualifying Examination is judged by the examiners to be of the standard required for the degree of MSt in Archaeology but not of the standard required to proceed to the second year of the MPhil in, will be granted permission to supplicate for the degree of Master of Studies in Archaeology.

In the case of failure in just one part of the final examination, the candidate will be permitted to retake that part of the examination on one further occasion, not later than one year after the initial attempt. Written papers would be retaken the following year. A candidate who is not judged to have reached the standard required for the degree of Master of Philosophy in Archaeology but whose examinations fulfil the requirements of the MSt in Archaeology may be granted permission to supplicate for the degree of MSt in Archaeology.

5 Factors affecting performance

Where a candidate or candidates have made a submission, under Part 13 of the Regulations for Conduct of University Examinations, that unforeseen factors may have had an impact on their performance in an examination, a subset of the board will meet to discuss the individual applications and band the seriousness of each application on a scale of 1-3 with 1 indicating minor impact, 2 indicating moderate impact, and 3 indicating very serious impact. When reaching this decision, examiners will take into consideration the severity and relevance of the circumstances, and the strength of the evidence. Examiners will also note whether all or a subset of papers were affected, being aware that it is possible for circumstances to have different levels of impact on different papers. The banding information will be used at the final board of examiners meeting to adjudicate on the merits of candidates. Further information on the procedure is provided in the *Policy and Guidance for examiners, Annex B* and information for students is provided at www.ox.ac.uk/students/academic/exams/guidance.

6 Details of examiners and rules on communicating with examiners

The External Examiner for the MSt and MPhil Archaeology for the 2017-18 academic year is Dr. Melanie Giles (University of Manchester) The internal examiners are Dr Ine Jacobs (chair), Dr Damian Robinson, Dr Alex Geurds and Dr Anke Hein.

Candidates should not under any circumstances seek to make contact with individual internal or external examiners.